

CERN-TH/98-221

DEMO-HEP/98-02

**WEXTER and ERAFITTER: two programs to fit
 M_W at LEP2 using the best measurable
kinematical variables**

F. A. Berends

Instituut Lorentz, University of Leiden, P. O. Box 9506,
2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

C. G. Papadopoulos

Institute of Nuclear Physics, NCSR ‘Democritos’,
15310 Athens, Greece

and

R. Pittau

Theoretical Physics Division, CERN
CH -1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Abstract

In this paper, we present two programs to fit M_W at LEP2 using the best measurable kinematical variables. The theoretical probabilities of observing the final-state kinematical configurations are computed by integrating over the quantities that are not well measured. Therefore, an event-by-event kinematical reconstruction is avoided. M_W is then determined through a maximum likelihood fit.

CERN-TH/98-221

July 1998

1 Introduction

Two methods are mainly used at LEP2 to extract the W mass: the threshold method and the direct reconstruction technique [1]. In the first case the total W^+W^- cross section is measured near threshold (161 GeV), where the sensitivity to M_W is stronger, and plotted as a function of the W mass. Conversely, the direct reconstruction method is applied at higher energies and requires two steps:

1. From the experimental data the invariant mass distribution $\frac{d\sigma}{dM}$ is reconstructed. To improve the mass resolution, a constrained fit is usually performed event by event, assuming no initial-state radiation (ISR) and equality between the invariant masses coming from different W 's.
2. The experimental distribution is compared with the theoretical prediction for $\frac{d\sigma}{dM}$ and, after Monte Carlo corrections, a reconstructed W mass M_R is extracted, with an error ΔM_R .

Recently, a new method has been proposed [2] (direct fit method), in which only the best measured quantities are used to extract the W mass. The idea is simple. Given a set of well measured quantities $\{\Phi\}$ one computes, event by event, the theoretical probability $\mathcal{P}_i$ of getting the observed set of values $\{\Phi_i\}$ for $\{\Phi\}$. Since this is a function of M_W , given N observed events, the logarithm of the likelihood function ($L = \prod_i \mathcal{P}_i$) is distributed, for large N , as a quadratic function of M_W . A parabola can then be fitted, from which the reconstructed W mass M_R is obtained with an error ΔM_R .

Although one can always consider more sets $\{\Phi\}$, the following choices seem reasonable, in practice, for different four-fermion final states [2, 3]:

Semileptonic case: $\ell_3\nu_4q_5q_6$

1. $\{\Phi\} = \{E_3, \Omega_3, \Omega_5, \Omega_6, E_h\}$, where E_h is the total energy of the two jets.
2. $\{\Phi\} = \{E_3, \Omega_3, \Omega_5, \Omega_6\}$.

Purely hadronic case: $q_3q_4q_5q_6$

3. $\{\Phi\} = \{\Omega_3, \Omega_4, \Omega_5, \Omega_6\}$.

Purely leptonic case: $\ell_3\nu_4\nu_5\ell_6$

$$4. \{\Phi\} = \{E_3, \Omega_3, E_6, \Omega_6\}.$$

In this paper, we explicitly give all the formulae needed to compute the probabilities referring to the above four cases and present two **FORT**RAN programs (**WEXTER** and **ERAFITTER**) to extract M_R from the LEP2 data using the direct fit method. The two programs have been developed in parallel and continuously cross checked. For this reason we chose to present them in a common paper. Also, we decided to put emphasis on the description of the common algorithms and on a general illustration of the codes, skipping the most technical details. Further information is available directly from the authors.

2 Theory

Let us start from the case when the analysed events belong to only one particular class of four-fermion final states (for example $\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu q_1\bar{q}_2$).

The main problem is computing, for each given event i , the probability $\mathcal{P}(\{\Phi_i\}, M_W)$ of measuring the observed values $\{\Phi_i\}$ for the set $\{\Phi\}$. This is a function of M_W and reads

$$\mathcal{P}(\{\Phi_i\}, M_W) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{tot}} \frac{d\sigma}{d\{\Phi_i\}}, \quad (1)$$

where $\frac{d\sigma}{d\{\Phi_i\}}$ and σ_{tot} are the differential and the total (namely integrated over the whole fiducial volume) cross sections for the process under study, respectively. Once σ_{tot} is known, the computation of $\frac{d\sigma}{d\{\Phi_i\}}$ for the four cases listed in the introduction is needed. Then, a likelihood function may be constructed as follows:

$$L = \prod_i \mathcal{P}(\{\Phi_i\}, M_W). \quad (2)$$

For large numbers of events, L is distributed as a Gaussian. Therefore, a quadratic fit of the form $Y = aX^2 + bX + c$, with $Y = \log L$ and $X = M_W$, can be performed. The maximum of the fitted parabola $(-\frac{b}{2a})$ gives M_R , while the statistical error given by the set of analysed events is $\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2a}}$.

The above procedure can be easily generalized to the case when the analysed events belong to different processes. In principle, this allows us to extract M_R , using together all LEP2 events ¹. If the events refer to m different processes, the likelihood function is given by

$$L = \prod_{i,j} \mathcal{P}^{(j)}(\{\Phi_i\}, M_W)$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{(j)}(\{\Phi_i\}, M_W) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{tot}^{(j)}} \frac{d\sigma^{(j)}}{d\{\Phi_i\}}, \quad (3)$$

where $j = 1 : m$ labels the m different processes.

Therefore, the most general formula for $\log L$ reads

$$\log L = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_j} \log \left(\frac{d\sigma^{(j)}}{d\{\Phi_i\}} \right) - N_j \log \sigma_{tot}^{(j)} \right), \quad (4)$$

where N_j is the number of analysed events for the j^{th} process.

In the following, we list the algorithms needed to compute $\frac{d\sigma^{(j)}}{d\{\Phi_i\}}$ for the four cases given in the introduction. Due to the ISR, the sum of the two incoming momenta reads

$$P = p_1 + p_2 = (E, p, 0, 0), \quad \text{with} \quad E = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}(x_1 + x_2), \quad p = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}(x_1 - x_2), \quad (5)$$

where $x_{1,2}$ are the fractions of energy left to $e^\pm$ after QED radiation, and

$$(p_1 + p_2)^2 \equiv \hat{s} = x_1 x_2 s. \quad (6)$$

Purely hadronic case

This is the simplest case because $\{\Phi\} = \{\Omega_3, \Omega_4, \Omega_5, \Omega_6\}$ is 8-dimensional. Then, when neglecting ISR, the kinematics of the event is completely determined. The inclusion of ISR would instead imply two integrations over x_1 and x_2 .

The algorithm is as follows. First one generates x_1 and x_2 between 0 and 1, and computes E and p in eq. (5). Then, after parametrizing the final-state

¹Notice that, since the differential cross sections differ, also CP-conjugate processes such as $\mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu q_1 \bar{q}_2$ and $\mu^+ \nu_\mu \bar{q}_1 q_2$ must be considered separately.

momenta as

$$p_i = E_i (1, c_{\theta_i}, s_{\theta_i} c_{\phi_i}, s_{\theta_i} s_{\phi_i})$$

$$i = 3 : 6, \quad c_{\theta_i} \equiv \cos \theta_i, \quad s_{\theta_i} \equiv \sin \theta_i, \quad \text{etc.}, \quad (7)$$

the four unknown energies E_i are found by using the energy-momentum conservation constraints:

$$\Delta \cdot \begin{pmatrix} E_3 \\ E_4 \\ E_5 \\ E_6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ p \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ c_{\theta_3} & c_{\theta_4} & c_{\theta_5} & c_{\theta_6} \\ s_{\theta_3} c_{\phi_3} & s_{\theta_4} c_{\phi_4} & s_{\theta_5} c_{\phi_5} & s_{\theta_6} c_{\phi_6} \\ s_{\theta_3} s_{\phi_3} & s_{\theta_4} s_{\phi_4} & s_{\theta_5} s_{\phi_5} & s_{\theta_6} s_{\phi_6} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

For some values of x_1 and x_2 , the above system may give unphysical negative E_i . Such configurations must off course be discarded.

The matrix element squared $|M|^2$ can then be computed by using the reconstructed momenta, so that the kernel cross section, to be convoluted with the ISR structure functions, is

$$d\hat{\sigma}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{|M|^2}{16} \delta^4(P - p_3 - p_4 - p_5 - p_6) \prod_{i=3}^6 E_i dE_i d\Omega_i, \quad (9)$$

where $(2\pi)^8$ and the flux factor have been included in the definition of $|M|^2$. Since

$$\int dE_3 dE_4 dE_5 dE_6 \delta^4(P - p_3 - p_4 - p_5 - p_6) = \frac{1}{\det \Delta}, \quad (10)$$

the final answer reads

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}(x_1, x_2)}{d\{\Phi_i\}} \equiv \frac{d\hat{\sigma}(x_1, x_2)}{d\Omega_3 d\Omega_4 d\Omega_5 d\Omega_6} = \frac{E_3 E_4 E_5 E_6}{16 \det \Delta} |M|^2. \quad (11)$$

Purely leptonic case

The measured quantities are now $\{\Phi\} = \{E_3, \Omega_3, E_6, \Omega_6\}$. An additional integration over Ω_4 is required, besides that over x_1 and x_2 . Therefore one first generates x_1, x_2, Ω_4 and then, from the on-shell condition $0 = (P - p_3 - p_4 - p_6)^2$, one gets E_4 :

$$E_4 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{s} - 2 E_3 (E - p c_3) - 2 E_6 (E - p c_6) + 2 E_3 E_6 (1 - c_{36})}{E - p c_4 - E_3 (1 - c_{34}) - E_6 (1 - c_{46})}$$

$$c_i = c_{\theta_i}, \quad c_{ij} = \cos \angle(p_i, p_j). \quad (12)$$

The four-vector p_5 is given by $p_5 = P - p_3 - p_4 - p_6$; then, for any value of x_1, x_2 and Ω_4 , the final-state momenta are known (as in the previous case, unphysical solutions must be explicitly discarded). The kernel multidifferential cross section is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{\sigma}(x_1, x_2)}{d\{\Phi_i\}} &\equiv \frac{d\hat{\sigma}(x_1, x_2)}{dE_3 d\Omega_3 dE_6 d\Omega_6} \\ &= \int d\Omega_4 \frac{E_3 E_4 E_6}{16 |E - p c_4 - E_3 (1 - c_{34}) - E_6 (1 - c_{46})|} |M|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Semileptonic case without hadronic energy

Now $\{\Phi\} = \{E_3, \Omega_3, \Omega_5, \Omega_6\}$ and one integration is needed to compute the kernel differential cross section. We chose to integrate over E_5 . As usual, one first generates x_1 and x_2 . Then, a bound for E_5 is found from the condition $(P - p_3 - p_5)^2 \geq 0$:

$$0 \leq E_5 \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{s} - 2 E_3 (E - p c_3)}{E - p c_5 - E_3 (1 - c_{35})}. \quad (14)$$

By generating E_5 in the above interval, computing E_6 from the on-shell condition $(P - p_3 - p_5 - p_6)^2 = 0$:

$$E_6 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{s} - 2 E_3 (E - p c_3) - 2 E_5 (E - p c_5) + 2 E_3 E_5 (1 - c_{35})}{E - p c_6 - E_3 (1 - c_{36}) - E_5 (1 - c_{56})} \quad (15)$$

and discarding the unphysical solutions, the final-state momenta are reconstructed. Finally, the kernel cross section reads

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}(x_1, x_2)}{d\{\Phi_i\}} \equiv \frac{d\hat{\sigma}(x_1, x_2)}{dE_3 d\Omega_3 d\Omega_5 d\Omega_6}$$

$$= \int dE_5 \frac{E_3 E_5 E_6}{16 |E - p c_6 - E_3 (1 - c_{36}) - E_5 (1 - c_{56})|} |M|^2. \quad (16)$$

Semileptonic case with hadronic energy

For this case, $\{\Phi\} = \{E_3, \Omega_3, \Omega_5, \Omega_6, E_h\}$, where $E_h = E_5 + E_6$. The set $\{\Phi\}$ is 8-dimensional; therefore, by giving x_1 and x_2 , the kinematics of the event is completely fixed. The algorithm is as follows. First one generates x_1 and x_2 , then one computes E_5 and E_6 from the system

$$\begin{cases} E_h = E_5 + E_6 \\ (P - p_3 - p_5 - p_6)^2 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

By imposing $E_{5,6} = \frac{E_h}{2} \pm \delta$, one finds the following two solutions:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^\pm &= \frac{-\beta \pm \sqrt{D}}{2\alpha}, \\ D &= \beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma \quad \alpha = -2(1 - c_{56}), \\ \beta &= 2[p(c_5 - c_6) + E_3(c_{36} - c_{35})], \\ \gamma &= \hat{s} - 2E_3(E - p c_3) - E_h(2E - p(c_5 + c_6)) \\ &\quad + E_3 E_h(2 - c_{35} - c_{36}) + \frac{E_h^2}{2}(1 - c_{56}). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Then $p_4 = P - p_3 - p_5 - p_6$. Not always are both solutions physical. The conditions to be fulfilled are

$$\begin{cases} |\delta| \leq \frac{E_h}{2} \\ D \geq 0 \\ E_4 \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Finally, the kernel cross section is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{\sigma}(x_1, x_2)}{d\{\Phi_i\}} &\equiv \frac{d\hat{\sigma}(x_1, x_2)}{dE_3 dE_h d\Omega_3 d\Omega_5 d\Omega_6} \\ &= \int d\delta \frac{|M(\delta)|^2}{8} E_3 \left(\frac{E_h^2}{4} - \delta^2 \right) \delta \left((P - p_3 - p_5 - p_6)^2 \right) \\ &= F(\delta^+) + F(\delta^-), \\ F(\delta) &= \frac{|M(\delta)|^2}{8} E_3 \left(\frac{E_h^2}{4} - \delta^2 \right) \frac{1}{|2\alpha\delta + \beta|}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

3 The program WEXTER

In this section, we present the first of the two programs that use the described probabilities to extract M_R from the LEP2 data. The program **WEXTER** consists of three parts: the evaluation of the matrix element, the computation of the relevant differential cross sections, by integration over the unobserved variables, and the fit to the likelihood curve, to extract M_R .

The knowledge of the total cross sections $\sigma_{tot}^{(j)}$ in eq. (4) is required as an input. The needed $\sigma_{tot}^{(j)}$ can be computed once for all, for each value of M_W used in the fit, using, for example, **EXCALIBUR** [4].

After an initialization in **SUBROUTINE SETPRO**, the matrix element evaluation is performed in **SUBROUTINE MATRIX** and **SUBROUTINE DIAGA**. The differential cross sections are evaluated in **SUBROUTINE DIFF**, while all needed integrations and the fit are performed in the **MAIN** of the program.

3.1 The MAIN

In the **MAIN**, the input file is read (see later for a detailed discussion). The input file must include the name of the data file (**DATANAME**) containing the events to be fitted. In **DATANAME**, all events must be given in terms of a complete set of four-momenta readable with the following format:

```
open (unit=2,file=DATANAME,status='old')
read (2,60) lp,(p(0,kl),p(1,kl),p(2,kl),p(3,kl),kl= 3,6)
60 format(i4/,(4d19.10))
```

where **lp** is a flag that defines the process and the array **p(0:3,1:6)** contains the four-momenta.

The first index in **p(0:3,1:6)** refers to the component while the second one labels the particles: 1 is the incoming e^+ , 2 the incoming e^- , while 3, 4, 5 and 6 are the four outgoing fermions.

By convention, the beam is along the x -axis (component 1), with the incoming e^+ along the positive values.

From the i^{th} event given in the above form, the program reconstructs the values $\{\Phi_i\}$ for the set $\{\Phi\}$ of best measured variables (see section 2 and ref. [2]), namely energies and angles for charged leptons and solid angles for the quarks. The set $\{\Phi\}$ is automatically determined according to the value of **LFLAG** returned by **SUBROUTINE SETPRO** (see next section).

Of course, the above input format is not suitable when analysing real data: in that case the values of the measured variables $\{\Phi_i\}$ should be directly given as an input. This requires a trivial change in the reading format of the program. However, we chose to feed the program directly with the four-momenta in order to facilitate Monte Carlo studies.

Then, the probability $\mathcal{P}(\{\Phi_i\}, M_W)$ of measuring the observed values $\{\Phi_i\}$ for the set $\{\Phi\}$ is computed, by a Monte Carlo integration over the initial-state QED radiation - implemented as in ref. [5] - and, when necessary, over the unobserved quantities.

Finally, in the last part of the **MAIN**, the quadratic fit $Y = aX^2 + bX + c$ described in the previous section is performed to extract M_R from the analysed events. Also the correlation matrix is computed, to estimate the error on the fit due to the Monte Carlo integration.

3.2 The subroutines SETPRO, MATRIX and DIAGA

These three subroutines and the whole strategy for the computation of the matrix element are taken from **EXCALIBUR** [4], to which we refer for further details.

In the first part of **SUBROUTINE SETPRO** the strong and the electroweak parameters used in the program are set. They are M_Z (**ZMI**), Γ_Z (**WZI**), $\sin^2\theta_W$ (**STH2**), $\alpha_{e.m.}$ (**ALPHA**) and Γ_W (**WWI**). Also α_s is an input. In the program, two different α_s 's are used. The first one (**ALS**) controls the coupling of the additional gluonic diagrams appearing in four-quark final states [6] (setting **ALS**= 0 switches off such diagrams). The second one (**ALSN**) is used in the computation of the so-called “naive” QCD factor [7].

By default, the widths of the gauge bosons (set in **FUNCTION CM2**) are taken to be fixed and different from zero also in the t -channel. This ensures QED gauge invariance of the results [8], but induces a small shift in M_W . To compensate for it, the variable **LSHI** is introduced. When **LSHI** is chosen to be 1 in the input file, masses and widths are redefined as described in ref. [9]. If **LSHI** = 0 such a redefinition is not performed.

Then, the four-fermion processes, chosen in the input file, are read and the corresponding Feynman diagrams built up and printed in the output file. In **SUBROUTINE SETPRO**, the chosen processes are also classified according to four categories. The variable that controls the classification is **LFLAG**: **LFLAG**= I (I= 1:4) corresponds to the I-th choice for $\{\Phi\}$ described in the

introduction.

Finally, the right permutation of the four particles in the final state is assigned to the variables `N3`, `N4`, `N5` and `N6`, for later use in `SUBROUTINE DIFF`. This is relevant for leptonic and semileptonic final states only. In fact, all four particles in fully hadronic final states are equivalent.

In `SUBROUTINE MATRIX` and `DIAGA` the matrix element squared is computed, using the helicity techniques described in ref. [4].

3.3 The subroutine DIFF

In `SUBROUTINE DIFF` the differential cross section is computed according to the value of `LFLAG` given by the event. The algorithms for the computation have already been described in section 2. `SQJAC` is the value returned by the subroutine. It is the product of the matrix element times the relevant Jacobian. `SUBROUTINE DIFF` is called from the `MAIN` of the program, where the numerical integration over `ISR` and not measured variables is performed.

3.4 The input

The meaning of the input parameters to be specified in order to run `WEXTER` is the following:

- `OUTPUTNAME (CHARACTER*15)`
The name of the output file.
- `DATANAME (CHARACTER*15)`
The name of the file containing the events.
- `NLP (INTEGER)`
The number of different processes contained in the file `DATANAME`.
- `PAR(3,I) (CHARACTER*2)`
Produced fermion with label 3 for process I (to be chosen among 'EL', 'NE', 'MU', 'NM', 'TA', 'NT', 'DQ', 'UQ', 'SQ', 'CQ', 'BQ', 'TQ').
- `PAR(4,I) (CHARACTER*2)`
Produced antifermion with label 4 for process I.

- PAR(5,I) (CHARACTER*2)
Produced fermion with label 5 for process I.
- PAR(6,I) (CHARACTER*2)
Produced antifermion with label 6 for process I.

The block of the previous four entries, specifying the processes, should be repeated NLP times (I= 1:NLP).

- NEV (INTEGER)
The number of events in the file DATANAME to be analysed for the fit.
- NPF (INTEGER)
The number of different values of M_W used to fit the likelihood curve.
- N (INTEGER)
The total number of integration points.
- KREL (INTEGER)
It selects the Feynman diagrams. If KREL = 0 all possible Feynman diagrams contributing to the chosen processes are taken into account. If KREL= 1, only the CC03 diagrams leading to the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$.
- LQED (INTEGER)
It includes (LQED= 1) or excludes (LQED = 0) ISR.
- LCOUL (INTEGER)
It includes (LCOUL= 1) or excludes (LCOUL = 0) the Coulomb factor described in ref. [10].
- LQCD (INTEGER)
It includes (LQCD= 1) or excludes (LQCD = 0) the “naive” QCD factor [7].
- LENER (INTEGER)
For semileptonic processes, the sum of the hadronic energies is used (LENER= 1) or not used (LENER = 0) as an input. In other words, if LENER= 1, a semileptonic process is classified with LFLAG= 1. Otherwise with LFLAG= 2.

- **LFOLD (INTEGER)**
For semileptonic (hadronic) processes a 2-folding (24-folding) over all possible jet assignments is performed (LFOLD= 1) or not performed (LFOLD= 0).
- **LSHI (INTEGER)**
A shift of masses and widths as described in ref. [9] is performed (LSHI= 1) or not performed (LSHI= 0).
- **ROOTS (REAL*8)**
The total energy (in GeV) of the colliding e^+ and e^- .
- **X(I) (REAL*8)**
NPF values of M_W (in GeV) used to fit the likelihood curve (I= 1:NPF).
- **SIG(I,J) (REAL*8)**
NPF values (I= 1:NPF) of the cross section integrated over the fiducial volume for the process labelled with J. The I-th cross section must be computed with the I-th value for M_W (X(I)). These and the following quantities are an input for **WEXTER** and should be computed once for all using, for example, **EXCALIBUR** [4].
- **DSIG(I,J) (REAL*8)**
The NPF values (I= 1:NPF) of the error corresponding to the above quantities.

The block of the previous two entries, specifying the cross sections and their errors, should be repeated NLP times (J= 1:NLP).

3.5 The output

Since presenting a complete test run output would require the specification of too long a list of numbers, such as the events contained in the file **DATANAME**, we decided not to include it here. We just describe what a typical output file looks like. After printing out information about the process and the parameters given in the input file, the program writes down, for each value of M_W chosen for the fit, the following quantities:

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{N_j} \log \left(\frac{d\sigma^{(j)}}{d\{\Phi_i\}} \right), \quad \sigma_{tot}^{(j)}, \quad X = M_W, \quad Y = \log L. \quad (21)$$

Then the final result of the fit is reported in the following form:

```
FITTED MW WITH A 3 PARAM FIT (Y= a*X^2+b*X+c) :
```

```
wm=  0.803187D+02 +/- 0.503275D-01 +/- 0.750389D-02
```

```
Chi^2/d.o.f. = 0.129242D+01
```

```
a = -0.197406D+03
```

```
b =  0.317107D+05
```

```
c = -0.128700D+07
```

where `wm` is the reconstructed W mass (M_R) and the first and second errors are the statistical and the Monte Carlo errors, respectively. The previous result has been obtained by analysing a set of 1600 unweighted CC03 semileptonic events, produced by EXCALIBUR, with an input mass $M_W = 80.35$ GeV at $\sqrt{s} = 190$ GeV. This set of unweighted events, together with the input file used to get the above output, are available, upon request, from the authors.

4 The program ERAFITTER

The program provides all necessary elements to fit the W mass and it is based on ERATO [11]. Below we give a brief description of the program.

4.1 The Computational Tree

The program `main.f` evaluates the differential cross section for the different cases described above. Phase-space generation proceeds through the appropriate algorithms ALG001 to ALG004. Then the momentum assignment is used to evaluate the matrix element through the routine `MASTER`, which is extracted from ERATO, and it is specific for each selected channel, i.e. leptonic, semileptonic and hadronic. The total cross sections, needed for the evaluation of the likelihood function, are calculated in the programs `evud.mass.f`, `llll_c2.mass.f` and `qqqq.mass.f`. Finally `fit2.f` collects all information and does the actual fit to extract the W mass by using standard MINUIT [12] calling sequences.

In `main.f`, the input data are read and all physical constants needed for the computation are defined. The output is the value of $\sum_{i=1}^N \log(d\sigma/d\{\Phi_i\})$ for the various values of M_W specified by the user.

In the subroutines `setc1-setc4` the transformation from the Cartesian representation of the four momenta to the polar one, needed to define the well-measured variables, is performed.

In the subroutines `ALG001-ALG004`, the generation of the full phase space, including, if specified, the ISR, is performed, according to the discussion in section 2. Each subroutine corresponds to the four cases defined in the introduction. Then the matrix element is computed by the standard call of `ERATO`. All needed routines are incorporated in the file `comrou.f`.

As far as the common blocks used in this code are concerned, they are identical to the ones used by `ERATO`, with the addition of `VARI01-VARI04`, which give the values of the well measured variables in each case, and `masses`, where `ndim` is the total number of M_W values used in the computation and `dwmass` is an array containing these values. Finally, `iaprox` defines the approximation scheme according to which the M_W dependence is taken into account. If `iaprox= 1` the M_W dependence is computed by only considering the Breit-Wigner functions,

$$1/((s_{12} - M_W^2)^2 + M_W^2 \Gamma_W^2) \times 1/((s_{34} - M_W^2)^2 + M_W^2 \Gamma_W^2),$$

which is exact in the CC03 class of Feynman diagrams, and constitutes a good approximation for CC10, CC11 and, depending on the cuts, for CC20 as well. On the other hand, if `iaprox= 0` the exact computation is performed.

4.2 Input description

The input needed to run the `main.f` code looks as follows:

```

3           !case 1=1b 2=1a 3=2 4=3
190         !energy
1 1600      !first and last generated event read
0.3 10000   !derr,maxmc
9 79.73 79.93 80.03 80.13 80.23 80.33 80.43 80.53 80.73
                                           !nim,dwmas

1           !isr
0 0 1       !ipro icoulomb iaprox
```

```

'/users/papadopo/Fortr/tmp/newfit/gen/run/evud/unw190100.data'
'lili100f'
'mass100f'
128.07 0.2310309 91.1888 2.4974 80.23 2.033    !input parameters
24          !# assignments (foldings) default =1 -> no folding

```

The FORTRAN variables corresponding to the above input file are:

- **ial**
The flag defining the choice of the set $\{\Phi\}$, according to the order given in the introduction.
- **e0**
The collision energy.
- **nev1, nev2**
The first and last event read for analysis.
- **derr, maxmc**
The relative error required in the computation of $d\sigma/d\Omega$ and the maximum number of MC iterations.
- **nim,dwmas(20)**
The number of and the actual values of M_W used in the calculation.
- **isr, ipro, icoulomb, iaprox**
The flags for the ISR, the actual process (i.e. $e^+e^- \rightarrow q_1 \bar{q}_2 q_3 \bar{q}_4$), Coulomb correction and the approximation scheme as defined above.
- **pupu**
The input file where the events are stored.
- **pupu**
The output file containing the log-likelihood values for each M_W .
- **pupu**
The output file containing the invariant masses of the W decay products.
- **ALPHA1, SINW2, ZMAS, ZGAMA, WMAS, WGAMA**
The physical constants needed for the computation.

- **nfol**

The parameter controlling the number of foldings to be done, **nfol**=2 for semileptonic and **nfol**=24 for hadronic. By folding we mean all possible different jet assignments.

For `fit2.f` the first input file is:

```
inf_min_1:
'../total/qqqq100'      !input file (tot_cs or lili)
'tot2'                  !output file (tot_cs_coef)
80.23                   !mass_0
0                        !dnorm
0                        !events
1,5                     !ndat1-ndat2
0,1                     !option 0(2) ,ifirst 1(2)
```

with the following correspondence to the **FORTRAN** variables:

- **FILNAM**

The input file including the total cross section for different values of the W mass.

- **FILNAM**

The output file containing the coefficients of the fit of the total cross section:

$$\sigma = \text{par}(1) + \text{par}(2) M_W + \text{par}(3) M_W^2 .$$

- **rmax**

The assumed central value for the measured M_W , usually the input value in a Monte Carlo simulation.

- **dnorm**

A normalization parameter (default = 0).

- **nev**

Not used.

- **ndat1, ndat2**

The first and the last rows to be read by the code from the input file.

- `ioption, ifirst`
`ioption=0` and `ifirst=1` in order to perform the total cross section fit.

The second input file reads

```
inf_min_2:
'lili100f'          !input file (tot_cs or lili)
'tot2'              !output file (tot_cs_coef)
80.23               !mass_0
0                   !dnorm
1600                !events
145 153             !ndat1-ndat2
2 2                 !option 0(2) ,ifirst 1(2)
```

with

- `FILNAM`
The input file including the log-likelihood values for each event and for the different values of the W mass required.
- `FILNAM`
The output file containing the coefficients of the fit and the fitted M_W .
- `rmax`
The assumed central value for the measured M_W .
- `dnorm`
A normalization parameter (default = 0).
- `nev`
The number of events read by the `main.f` code.
- `ndat1, ndat2`
The first and the last rows to be read by the code from the input file.
- `ioption, ifirst`
`ioption=2` and `ifirst=2` in order to perform the fit to the logarithm of the likelihood function.

The first run performs the fit to the total cross section, whereas the second one uses the previous results to extract M_R , including the information from the differential cross-section.

4.3 The output

Using the input files described above, we performed the fit to a selection of 1600 CC03 unweighted events produced by ERATO with ISR and $M_W = 80.23$ GeV. Then a 24-folding was performed over all possible jet assignments. Moreover, the total cross section has been evaluated using the same settings (CC03, ISR). The result of the MINUIT program is as follows:

```
*****
**      3 **MIGRAD
*****

MIGRAD MINIMIZATION HAS CONVERGED.

FCN=.3168413E-01 FROM MIGRAD STATUS=CONVERGED
                                13 CALLS  137 TOTAL
EDM=   .57E-21  STRATEGY=1      ERROR MATRIX UNCERTAINTY= .0%
```

EXT PARAMETER				STEP	FIRST
NO.	NAME	VALUE	ERROR	SIZE	DERIVATIVE
1	A0	2278.3	2.8190	-.10390E-09	.19360E-04
2	A1	23.469	7.0501	.16109E-09	.25818E-05
3	A2	-503.17	22.433	.11872E-08	.25067E-05

```
*****
```

In this output A0, A1 and A2 are the coefficients of the quadratic form $Y = A2 X^2 + A1 X + A0$, with $Y = \log L$ and $X = (M_R - 80.23)$, from which one can obtain M_R in GeV,

$$M_R - 80.23 = 2.33E - 02 \pm 3.15E - 02 \pm 7.08E - 03,$$

where the first is the statistical and the second the Monte Carlo error respectively.

5 Conclusions

We have introduced the relevant formalism and described two **FORTRAN** programs to reconstruct the W mass at LEP2 using the direct fit method introduced in ref. [2].

References

- [1] Z. Kunszt et al., in Physics at LEP2, eds. G. Altarelli, T. Sjöstrand and F. Zwirner, CERN 96-01, Geneva, (1996), Vol. 1, p. 141.
- [2] F. A. Berends, C.G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, Phys. Lett. **B417** (1998) 385.
- [3] F. A. Berends et al., J. Phys. **G24** (1998) 405.
- [4] F. A. Berends, R. Pittau and R. Kleiss, Nucl. Phys. **B424** (1994) 308 and Comput. Phys. Commun. **85** (1995) 437.
- [5] F. A. Berends, R. Pittau and R. Kleiss, Nucl. Phys. **B426** (1994) 344.
- [6] R. Pittau, Phys. Lett. **B335** (1994) 490.
- [7] D. Bardin et al., same as ref. [1], Vol. 2, p. 3.
- [8] E. Argyres et al., Phys. Lett. **B358** (1995) 339; W. Beenakker et al., Nucl. Phys. **B500** (1997) 255.
- [9] D. Bardin et al., Phys. Lett. **B206** (1988) 539; F. A. Berends and A. I. van Sighem, Nucl. Phys. **B454** (1995) 467.
- [10] W. Beenakker et al., same as ref. [1], Vol. 1, p. 81.
- [11] C. G. Papadopoulos, Phys. Lett. **B352** (1995) 144 and Comput. Phys. Commun. **101** (1997) 183.
- [12] F. James and M. Roos, Comput. Phys. Commun. **10** (1975) 343 and CERN Program Library Long Writeup D506, CERN 1994.